

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark. Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspipo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,648	01/23/2002		Ryuji Nakata	F-7282	9422	
28107	7590	01/05/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP				JOHNSON, JERRY D		
122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK NY 10168			1764			

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

und		<b>~~</b>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/055,648	NAKATA, RYUJI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TO MAIL BIO DATE of this	Jerry D. Johnson	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR.13 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply in If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the status of th	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Oc	<u>stober 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This a	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11 Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	pted or b) objected to by the E lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provided that the first sentence was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the	have been received. have been received in Applicating ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(extremely a sentence of the specification or priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No  d in this National Stage  d.  s) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  elved.  and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/055,648

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baile et al., Davis et al., U.S. Patents 3,729,415; 3,547,819; 3,541,011 and Rumierz, U.S. Patent 4,146,487 in view of Takata et al.

Baile et al., U.S. Patent 4,492,415, teach roller bearings wherein the matrix of the bearing component is composed of a solid, tough, elastic gel comprising lubricating oil and a high molecular weight polymer (column 3, lines 20-30). The lubricating matrix can be produced by any means known to the art so long as it has sufficient mechanical strength and the ability to release sufficient lubricant for the intended application (column 6, lines 19-22). Preferred lubricating matrices are disclosed in the patents to Davis et al. and Rumierz. Those patents are incorporated by reference by Baile et al. (column 6, lines 22-40). Conventional lubricating additives such as nylon or Teflon powder and molybdenum disulfide may also be incorporated in the matrix in known manner (e.g., Baile et al., column 8, lines 37-40; Davis et al., U.S. Patent 3,729,415, column 7, lines 27-29).

Takata et al., U.S. Patent 6,020,290, disclose grease compositions for rolling bearings containing 0.05 to 10 parts by weight zinc dithiocarbamate (abstract). Other conventional additives disclosed include zinc dithiophosphate and organic molybdenum extreme pressure agents (column 6, lines 27-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/055,648

Art Unit: 1764

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a conventional extreme pressure and/or antiwear additive, wherein said additive is a "phosphate or carbamate in organometallic complexes and in which the metal is Zn or Mo", in the lubricant composition of Baile et al. in order to improved the extreme pressure and/or antiwear properties of said composition. Furthermore, it would have been obvious and well within the ability of the skilled artisan to determine the optimum amounts of said known lubricant additives to achieve their recognized desired effects on lubricants. Cf. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955); *In re Luck*, 476 F.2d 650, 177 USPQ 523 (CCPA 1973); *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Applicant's arguments filed October 3, 2003, to the extent they are applicable to the instant grounds of rejection, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues

applicant invented the meticulous balancing of the parameters and selection of materials necessary to achieve simulataneously [sic] a multiplicity of advantageous effects. This was far beyond mere optimization obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. (Remarks, page 6).

Applicant's argument lacks merit.

There is no evidence of record that the claimed "parameters and selection of materials" provide unexpected results when compared against the applied prior art. Attorneys arguments unsupported by factual evidence do not take the place of objective evidence of unobviousness. *In re Lindner*, 173 USPQ 356.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/055,648

Art Unit: 1764

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry D. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2515. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Caldarola can be reached on (703) 308-6824. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661

Jerry D. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764